RISK MANAGEMENT - BUSINESS IMPACT ANALYSIS

Policy, Project, Activity: (e.g. SPI, PMM or FRA Paper,		New/Existing? (If existing, please state which document it will replace)		
etc).		Date:		
Directorate:		Department:		
Author:		Head of Department:		
Title:	Future of West Midlands Re	gional Management Bo	ard	
Purpose:	The recent announcement by the Fire Minister Bob Neill that Fire and Rescue Services no longer have to work through Regional Management Boards has given the opportunity for the West Midlands Regional Management Board (WMRMB) to review its position. This report proposes that as recommended by WMRMB, the Authority consider the dissolution of the Board, subject to permission being granted by the Fire Minister.			
Strategic Policy Implications No				
	tivity help us to deliver our IRMF	·	es?	
If yes, please state how, if No please state why the document should be put in place.				
Equality and Diversity Outcomes No			No	
Are there any equality and diversity outcomes for this policy/activity?				
If Yes, please outline i.e. Home Fire Safety Check Policy will have objectives for the targeting of vulnerable groups which link to the Equality strands becoming objectives.				
Equality Monitoring			No	
Does the Service currently collate data specific to this activity for equality monitoring?				
Partnership Working			Yes	
Does this policy/ activity involve working or interaction with other organisations?				
WMRMB Members are keen to encourage informal joint working between Fire Authorities, both within the West Midlands geographic boundaries and beyond.				

Risk Management

Please complete all fields identifying the risk/ impact of your subject area.

The Risk Score is derived from the level of Impact and the Likelihood, calculated from the Strategic Risk Matrix – please see below. The risk matrix provides a score based upon the impact (low, medium or high effect) that this risk could have upon the Authority and the likelihood (low, medium or high) that this risk could actually happen during the application of the policy, decision or project.

Completion of this form ensures that all relevant corporate considerations have been addressed that may impact upon the Authority. Any residual risk scores of 7, 8 and 9 (the red areas) must be escalated to the Head of Corporate Risk for consideration into appropriate Risk Registers. Where the answer is no, the inherent and residual risk score will be **N/A**.

Risk Areas Identified	Inherent	Control Measures/Solution	Residual		
(Risk impact or concerns arising from the subject area being adopted)	Risk Score (before any control measures applied)	(What action has or will be taken to reduce the inherent risk score and who is responsible?)	Risk Score (after control measures/solution s are applied)		
1. Does this activity/policy involve or have an impact on these groups?	If yes, please inc	licate: with a (✓) and state which group(s)			
Public Staff Partners Contractors Consultants Communi	ty Groups Loca	I Government Local Resilience Forum			
From the groups identified above, state here what the actual risk is to the Authority The dissolution of the WMRMB has an impact on the Fire Services involved, however representatives of all the Services on the Board have unanimously resolved to recommend that their individual Authorities agree to dissolve the Board.					
Families 0 Discounties					
Equality & Diversity					
1. Does this subject area impact upon the six strands of equality? If yes	s, please indicate:	Race Gender Disability Age Sexual Orientation Religion & Be	elief		
From the groups identified above, state here what the actual risk is to the Authority.					
2. Could this activity prevent us promoting equality for any diverse group? N/A					
If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here.					
3. Could this activity potentially discourage the participation of any equality groups? N/A					
If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here.					

Log No.

4. Could this activity promote negative attitudes towards any equality g	roups? N/A				
If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here.					
5. Could this activity help to promote equality of opportunity between d	iverse groups? N	N/A			
If no, please identify why and what the risk is here.					
6. Is there any public concern that the function or policy is being carrie	d out in a discrimin	natory way? N/A			
If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here.					
7. Has consultation internally/externally been completed with all groups	s affected? N/A	<u>'</u>			
If yes, please provide details and risk score appropriately. If no,					
please provide details and risk score appropriately.					
8. Can the Service be sure that the policy/ activity is meeting all of the	needs of all of the	se groups? N/A			
If no, please identify what needs are not being met.					
Otrotonia Baliario arramana a luvuliastiana an Bal	:4: 1 : 4	Landambia anamian manananantahan			
Strategic Policy/Governance Implications – e.g. Pol	iticai impact,	Leadership, or senior management change			
This action is subject to the approval of the Secretary of					
State.					
Operational – e.g. how we carry out our duties					
Legal – e.g. change or failure to comply with legisla	tion including	g specialist advice			
At present the National Framework requires the					
existence of an RMB. In a recent press statement,					
however, the Fire Minister Bob Neill MP has indicated					
that the government would not intervene where the					
National Framework was not strictly adhered to and					
issues would be left for local decision.					
Financial – e.g. monetary or resource implications					
Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service	be put at risk	k by the adoption of this policy/ activity?			
Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service	be put at risk	by the adoption of this policy/ activity?			
		t by the adoption of this policy/ activity? L. Energy saving, waste disposal, decontamination and			
Environmental – Is there any impact including Susta					

Log No.

Assets - Procurement/ ICT/Property/Fleet/Equipme	nt – e.g. Purc	hasing, New builds, Maintenance/Alteration	ıs	
The Board has no employees or assets so in a mutual				
consent situation the process of winding up ought to				
be straightforward subject to the authorisation of the				
Secretary of State.				
Human Resources - e.g. Recruitment, Policy change	es. Monitorin	g information Establishment changes. Emp	lovee Relation	ons.
Employee Development	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	,
Training – e.g. Is training required in this area? Wi delivery training in this area?	II Training &	Development need to be notified in order	for them to a	assist in the
Health and Safety e.g. Will this enhance or underm	ine Health, S	afety and wellbeing		
Partnership – e.g. Working or interaction with other orga	nisations			
HWFRS will still work informally with neighbouring				
FRSs				
Information Management – e.g. Data Quality, Privacy Imp Regulation	oact Assessme	nt, Data Protection and Freedom of Information	n, Environmer	ntal
Does this policy/activity conform to the <u>Data Protection</u> Act, Freedom				
of Information Act, Environmental Information Regulations and <u>Data</u> <u>Quality</u> principles?				
Total Inherent Score		Total Residual Score		
Outcome:			Yes	/ No
Does this Policy/Project/Activity reduce the overall risk f	or the service	?		
If no, please state why there is not a reduction in risk				

Monitor as necessary - less important but still could have a serious effect on the provision of key services or duties	Monitor as necessary - less important but still could have a serious effect on the provision of key services or duties	Key risks - may potentially affect provision of key services or duties
3	5	7
No action necessary	Monitor as necessary - ensure being properly managed	Monitor as necessary- less important but still could have a serious effect on the provision of key services or duties
1	2	4
Low	Likelihood	High

What further Opportunities can be identified from this activity/policy matter? Who is responsible for delivery?	Opportunities:	Responsible:
	What further Opportunities can be identified from this activity/policy matter?	Who is responsible for delivery?

Publishing the Document:					
Is there any reason why this policy, PMM paper or FRA report and accompanying Business Impact Analysis should not be published?					
Please consider Data Protection, Priva	cy Impact Assessment and F		ncerns.		
If there is a reason why this information	can not be published, pleas	se state why.			
Policy Author Signature:			Date:		
Head of Department/Mgr:			Date:		
				·	
TO BE COMPLETED BY CORP	ORATE RISK AND EC	QUALITY AND DIVER	SITY ON	ILY:	
Escalation of Risk:				Yes / No	
Please identify the escalation of risk Steering Group or relevant Corporate				versity	
Steering Group of Televant Corporate	, Max Consideration Lead	e.g rranning, r arthership	,		
Authorisation:	Outcome:			Date:	
Equality & Diversity Officer					
Head of Corporate Risk					
PMM AND FRA PAPERS ONLY:					
PMM:					
FRA:					
Programme Support:					
Procurement:					
Sustainability impact appraisal completed					