



Appendix 2

2010-11 IRMP Annual Action Plan Consultation Summary of Proposed Recommendations

<i>Recommendation for Consultation</i>	<i>Proposed Recommendations</i>
<p><u><i>Recommendation 1</i></u></p> <p><i>During 2010/11 we will review our revised management arrangements at our day crewed stations</i></p>	<p><i>1. This recommendation is adopted.</i></p> <p><i>Incorporate consultation feedback into implementation plans to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Publish terms of reference for the review, and implement accordingly.</i> <i>• Ensure terms of reference incorporate any impact on crewing arrangements.</i> <i>• Outline performance outcomes of this proposal.</i> <i>• Examine alerting system for day-crewed stations at night.</i>
<p><u><i>Recommendation 2</i></u></p> <p><i>During 2010/11 we will consider the options for the use of Targeted Response Vehicles across the Service</i></p>	<p><i>1 This proposal is withdrawn, until a more in depth exercise into the business/performance case for this can be made.</i></p> <p><i>2 Further details around potential TRV usage and associated issues are presented with any revised proposal.</i></p> <p><i>3 That any further considerations of TRV proposals examine the effectiveness of implementation in Fire and Rescue Services nationally.</i></p>
<p><u><i>Recommendation 3</i></u></p> <p><i>Further develop local risk profiles to include an assessment of high level salvage risks associated with Heritage Sites.</i></p>	<p><i>1 This recommendation is adopted.</i></p>
<p><u><i>Recommendation 4</i></u></p> <p><i>Further develop local risk profiles to include an assessment of potential risk to the environment.</i></p>	<p><i>1 This recommendation is adopted.</i></p>

<p><u>Recommendation 5</u></p> <p><i>We will further develop interoperability arrangements with Gloucestershire, South Wales and Mid and West Wales</i></p>	<p>1 <i>This recommendation is adopted.</i></p>
<p><u>Recommendation 6</u></p> <p><i>Should we introduce a minimum level of flood/water first responders, requiring back office efficiencies of £21k pa</i></p> <p><i>or</i></p> <p><i>provide an optimum level of cover requiring back office efficiencies of £36k pa?</i></p>	<p>1 <i>Option 2 is adopted requiring back office efficiencies of £36k pa.</i></p> <p><i>Incorporate consultation feedback into implementation plans to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Identify where back office savings would come from;</i> • <i>Ensure that the integrated water response strategy that is currently under review incorporates all aspects of flood / water response provision</i> • <i>That the integrated water response strategy also gives a look forward to any potential change should a new statutory duty be imposed</i>
<p><u>Recommendation 7</u></p> <p><i>We will establish a centre based around the USAR team to co-ordinate and manage the specialist Technical Rescue functions within the Service.</i></p>	<p>1. <i>This recommendation is adopted.</i></p> <p><i>Incorporate consultation feedback into business plans to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Identify opportunity for USAR staff to undertake peripatetic training</i>