

Report of the Head of Internal Audit Shared Service

Internal Audit Progress Report

Purpose of report

1. To provide an update in regards to the delivery of the Internal Audit plan 2021/22 and 2022/23.
-

Recommendation

The Treasurer recommends that the report is noted.

Introduction and Background

2. The Authority is responsible for maintaining or procuring an adequate and effective internal audit of the activities of the Authority under the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2018. This includes considering, where appropriate, the need for controls to prevent and detect fraudulent activity. These should also be reviewed to ensure that they are effective. This duty has been delegated to the Treasurer and Internal Audit is provided by Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service (WIASS). Management is responsible for the system of internal control and should set in place policies and procedures to ensure systems function correctly.

Objectives of Internal Audit

3. The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (as amended) defines internal audit as: “an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organisation’s operations. It helps an organisation accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes”. WIASS is committed to conforming to the requirements of the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (as amended).

Aims of Internal Audit

4. The objectives of WIASS are to:
 - Examine, evaluate and report on the adequacy and effectiveness of internal control and risk management across the Fire Service and recommend arrangements to address weaknesses as appropriate;

- Examine, evaluate and report on arrangements to ensure compliance with legislation and the Fire Service's objectives, policies and procedures;
 - Examine, evaluate and report on procedures that the Fire Service's assets and interests are adequately protected and effectively managed;
 - Undertake independent investigations into allegations of fraud and irregularity in accordance with Fire Service's policies and procedures and relevant legislation; and
 - Advise upon the control and risk implications of new systems or other organisational changes.
5. Internal audit will work with external audit to try and avoid duplication of effort, provide adequate coverage for the 2022/23 financial year so that an internal audit opinion can be reached and support External Audit by carrying out reviews in support of the accounts opinion work. The audit plan is made available to the external auditors for information.

Audit Planning

6. To provide audit coverage for 2022/23, an audit operational programme delivered by WIASS was discussed and agreed with the Authority's Senior Management Board and the Section 151 Officer and Treasurer. It was brought before Committee on 19th January 2022 for consideration. The audit programme provides a total audit provision of 111 audit days; including support days and draw down budgets which may not be used in full during the year.

Audit Delivery

7. To assist the Committee to consider assurance on the areas of work undertaken, an overall assurance level is given, when appropriate, to each audit area based on a predetermined scale (Appendix 3). Also, the findings are prioritised into 'high', 'medium' and 'low' within audit reports with all 'high' priority recommendations being reported before committee (Appendix 2 and 3).

Assurance Sources

8. We recognise there are other review functions providing other sources of assurance (both internally and externally) over aspects of the Authority's operations. Where possible we seek to place reliance on such work thus reducing the internal audit coverage as required.

Independence and Safeguards

9. WIASS internal audit activity is organisationally independent. Internal Audit reports to the Treasurer but has a direct and unrestricted access to the senior management board and the Audit Committee Chair. Where WIASS provide assistance with the preparation of areas of work there are clear safeguards in place to ensure independence is not compromised. Safeguards include review within the audit service by an independent person to those who have completed the work as well as independent scrutiny by the Treasurer of the authority. Audit Committee can also challenge the reported findings and the minutes would record this.

Risk Management

10. Risk Management is a high profile activity due to the nature of the Authority. Regular updates are brought before Committee and a robust and embedded risk management process exists within the Fire Authority. Regular review of the risk profile takes place with appropriate mitigation agreed and reported.

2022/2023 Audit Position

11. There have been two reviews commenced regarding the 2022/23 audit programme. These are progressing to the testing stage and will be reported on completion.

2021/2022 Audit Position

12. There have been two reviews finalised regarding the 2021/22 plan since the last progress report presented before Committee:
 - Procurement and contracts
 - Covid-19 Lessons Learnt, business resilience planning and implementation
13. The outcome to the reviews listed in paragraph 11 above are reported in summary below.

2021/22 Audit Reviews: Procurement and Contracts

14. The review found the following areas of the system were working well:
 - Compliance with guidance and legislation
 - The processes for scoring and decision making for tenders
 - Justification of the need for specific, bespoke goods and services
15. The review found the following areas of the system where controls could be strengthened:
 - Staff training procurement
 - Contracts register

There were two medium priority recommendations reported.

Final report was issued: 13th June 2022
Assurance: Significant

Covid-19 Lesson Learnt

16. The review found that the following areas of the system were working well:
 - Emphasis on a Risk-Assessed approach taken on both a Departmental and Individual level
 - Ongoing monitoring of the Service's response to the pandemic through the various task-groups assigned
 - The production of guidance for staff with regards to their work and health
17. Communications to staff was identified as an area for improvement however this has been identified and acknowledged prior to this review taking place.
18. The review found the following areas of the system where controls could be strengthened:
 - Covid-19 Debrief
 - Staff Survey
 - Internal Action Plans

There were two medium and one low priority recommendation reported.

Final report was issued: 13th June 2022
Assurance: Significant

Follow Up Reviews

19. Follow up takes place regarding previously completed audits to provide assurance that recommendations have been implemented and any risk mitigated. Where there is a programmed annual visit to an area the 'follow up' is included as part of the audit review e.g. financials. Any material exceptions arising from audit 'follow up' will be brought to the attention of the Audit Committee. The Key Performance Indicator follow up found a positive direction of travel with all reported points either implemented or in progress of implementation. The Computer follow up review is in the process of being arranged and will be reported before Committee on completion. For the follow ups undertaken to date there are no material exceptions to report.

Conclusion/Summary

20. The Internal Audit Plan for 2021/22 has been completed so focus will now switch to the 2022/23 audit programme. For those 2021/22 finalised reports there were no high priority recommendations to report, and, robust management actions plans have been agreed and are in place to address the findings from those reviews. Follow ups completed to date have not identified

any material exceptions to report before Committee. All finalised reviews will be reported to Committee on completion.

Corporate Considerations

Resource Implications (identify any financial, legal, property or human resources issues)	There are no financial issues that require consideration.
Strategic Policy Links (identify how proposals link in with current priorities and policy framework and if they do not, identify any potential implications).	Selected audits are risk based and linked to the delivery of priorities and policy framework.
Risk Management / Health & Safety (identify any risks, the proposed control measures and risk evaluation scores).	Yes, whole report.
Consultation (identify any public or other consultation that has been carried out on this matter)	N/A – no policy change is recommended
Equalities (has an Equalities Impact Assessment been completed? If not, why not?)	N/A

Supporting Information

Appendix 1 – 2022/23 Audit Plan summary.

Appendix 2 – ‘High’ priority recommendations for completed audits.

Appendix 3 – ‘Assurance’ and ‘priority’ definitions.

Appendix 4 – ‘Follow Up’ reporting

DRAFT INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN FOR 2022/23

Audit Area	Source	Planned days 2022/23	Service	Comment/ Potential Outline Scoping	Strategy link	Indicative Quarter & Progress
Accountancy & Finance Systems						
Main Ledger (inc Budgetary Control & Bank Rec)	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	6	Finance	Reduction in days for a light touch system audit. Standard scoping for core financial.	Resourcing for the Future	Q3
Creditors (a/c's payable)	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	7	Finance	Reduction in days for a light touch system audit. Standard scoping for core financial.	Resourcing for the Future	Q3
Debtors (a/c's receivable)	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	5	Finance	Reduction in days for a light touch system audit. Standard scoping for core financial.	Resourcing for the Future	Q3
Payroll & Pensions inc GARTAN	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	11	Service Support	Reduction in days for a light touch system audit. Standard scoping for core financial.	Resourcing for the Future	Q3
SUB TOTAL		29				

Corporate Governance

Training Centre Droitwich	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	10		Finance	Management of content and system changes are working.	Fire & Rescue Authority	Q1 Review has commenced June 2022
OPCC Estates	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	12		Service Support	Arrangements in place working, processes, reactive & planned maintenance acceptable.	Fire & Rescue Authority	Q1 Review has commenced June 2022
ICT	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	15		Service Support	Cyber security, business continuity and back ups	Fire & Rescue Authority	Q4
SUB TOTAL		37					

System / Management Arrangements

Stores & Equipment	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	12		Service Support	Effective management, accountability of stock, systems are competent, equipment replenishment/ maintenance	Fire & Rescue Authority	Q2
Fleet	Fundamental to HWFRS CRMP delivery	10		Service Delivery	Links to 2021-2025 CRMP promises and deliverables.	Fire & Rescue Authority	Q2
SUB TOTAL		22					

Follow up Reviews	Good governance	7					
-------------------	-----------------	---	--	--	--	--	--

Advice, Guidance, Consultation, Investigations	Support	5				
Audit Cttee support, reports and meetings	Support	11				
SUB TOTAL		23				
TOTAL CHARGEABLE		111				

'High' Priority Recommendations Reported for 2022/23 Finalised Reviews.

There have been no finalised reviews so far for 2022/23 therefore there are none to report.

For those reviews finalised for 2021/22 and reported above there are no high priority recommendations to report.

(Where recommendations are reported these definitions can also be applied to Appendix 4 where applicable)

Definition of Priority of Recommendations

Priority	Definition
High	Control weakness that has or is likely to have a significant impact upon the achievement of key system, function or process objectives. Immediate implementation of the agreed recommendation is essential in order to provide satisfactory control of the serious risk(s) the system is exposed to.
Medium	Control weakness that has or is likely to have a medium impact upon the achievement of key system, function or process objectives. Implementation of the agreed recommendation within 3 to 6 months is important in order to provide satisfactory control of the risk(s) the system is exposed to.
Low	Control weakness that has a low impact upon the achievement of key system, function or process objectives. Implementation of the agreed recommendation is desirable as it will improve overall control within the system.

Definition of Audit Opinion Levels of Assurance

Opinion	Definition
Full Assurance	<p>The system of internal control meets the organisation's objectives; all of the expected system controls tested are in place and are operating effectively.</p> <p>No specific follow up review will be undertaken; follow up will be undertaken as part of the next planned review of the system.</p>
Significant Assurance	<p>There is a generally sound system of internal control in place designed to meet the organisation's objectives. However isolated weaknesses in the design of controls or inconsistent application of controls in a small number of areas put the achievement of a limited number of system objectives at risk.</p> <p>Follow up of medium priority recommendations only will be undertaken after 6 months; follow up of low priority recommendations will be undertaken as part of the next planned review of the system.</p>
Moderate Assurance	<p>The system of control is generally sound however some of the expected controls are not in place and / or are not operating effectively therefore increasing the risk that the system will not meet its objectives. Assurance can only be given over the effectiveness of controls within some areas of the system.</p> <p>Follow up of high and medium priority recommendations only will be undertaken after 6 months; follow up of low priority recommendations will be undertaken as part of the next planned review of the system.</p>
Limited Assurance	<p>Weaknesses in the design and / or inconsistent application of controls put the achievement of the organisation's objectives at risk in many of the areas reviewed. Assurance is limited to the few areas of the system where controls are in place and are operating effectively.</p> <p>Follow up of high and medium priority recommendations only will be undertaken after 6 months; follow up of low priority recommendations will be undertaken as part of the next planned review of the system.</p>
No Assurance	<p>No assurance can be given on the system of internal control as significant weaknesses in the design and / or operation of key controls could result or have resulted in failure to achieve the organisation's objectives in the area reviewed.</p> <p>Follow up of high and medium priority recommendations only will be undertaken after 6 months; follow up of low priority recommendations will be undertaken as part of the next planned review of the system.</p>

Follow Up Reviews

There are no exceptions to report before Committee for 'follow up' reviews that have been completed. Direction of travel is positive with all the findings either implemented or in progress and working towards implementation.