RISK MANAGEMENT - BUSINESS IMPACT ANALYSIS

| Policy, Project, Activity: (e.g. SPI, PMM or FRA Paper, | Amendment to Principal Officer Structure | New/Existing? (If existing, please state which document it will replace) | New |
|---|--|---|--|
| etc). | | Date: | 01 September 2010 |
| Directorate: | CFO | Department: | CFO |
| Author: | Chief Fire Officer | Head of Department: | CFO |
| Title: | Amendment to Principal Of | fficer Structure | |
| Purpose: | To remove one Assistant Chief redistribute the Principal Officer | | Service structure and to |
| Strategic Policy I | mplications | | Yes / No |
| Does this policy/ac | tivity help us to deliver our IRM | IP and Corporate Objective | es? |
| commence the pro anticipated budget Savings will be rea | ncing an uncertain financial future incess of taking appropriate action pressures. quired for the next and subseque CFO post is to achieve financial | s to ensure the Authority ca ent financial years - the key | n properly deal with the driver for the proposed |
| | | | |
| Equality and Dive | ersity Outcomes | | Yes / No |
| | ersity Outcomes ality and diversity outcomes for | this policy/activity? | Yes / No Yes |
| Are there any equa | • | | Yes |
| Are there any equa If Yes, please outline which link to the Equal The amendment to | ality and diversity outcomes for <i>i.e. Home Fire Safety Check Policy</i> | will have objectives for the targ | Yes eting of vulnerable groups |
| Are there any equa If Yes, please outline which link to the Equal The amendment to | ality and diversity outcomes for <i>i.e. Home Fire Safety Check Policy</i> <i>ity strands becoming objectives.</i> the Principal Officer structure will uality and Diversity Strategy. | will have objectives for the targ | Yes eting of vulnerable groups |

| Partnership Working | Yes / No | |
|--|----------|--|
| Does this policy/ activity involve working or interaction with other organisations? N/A | | |
| If you place analysis that the Partnership Working SPI has been completed and advice sought from the Partnership | | |

If yes, please ensure that the <u>Partnership Working</u> SPI has been completed and advice sought from the Partnership Officer

Risk Management

Please complete all fields identifying the risk/ impact of your subject area.

The Risk Score is derived from the level of Impact and the Likelihood, calculated from the Strategic Risk Matrix – please see below. The risk matrix provides a score based upon the impact (low, medium or high effect) that this risk could have upon the Authority and the likelihood (low, medium or high) that this risk could actually happen during the application of the policy, decision or project.

Completion of this form ensures that all relevant corporate considerations have been addressed that may impact upon the Authority. Any residual risk scores of 7, 8 and 9 (the red areas) must be escalated to the Head of Corporate Risk for consideration into appropriate Risk Registers. Where the answer is no, the inherent and residual risk score will be **N/A**.

| Risk Areas Identified (Risk impact or concerns arising from the subject area being adopted) | Inherent Risk Score (before any control measures applied) | Control Measures/Solution (What action has or will be taken to reduce the inherent risk score and who is responsible?) | Residual Risk Score (after control measures/solution s are applied) |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1. Does this activity/policy involve or have an impact on these groups? | If yes, please ind | licate: with a $(\sqrt{2})$ and state which aroun(s) | |
| | | cal Government Local Resilience Forum | |
| From the groups identified above, state here what the actual risk is to the Authority | 6 | Appropriate consultation, policies and procedures to be followed. | 2 |
| Removal of two posts (1 x ACFO and 1 x Personal Assistant) from structure – may necessitate a Personal Assistant redundancy. | | | |
| Equality & Diversity | | | |
| 1. Does this subject area impact upon the six strands of equality? If ye | s, please indicate: | Race Gender Disability Age Sexual Orientation Religion & Be | elief |
| From the groups identified above, state here what the actual risk is to the Authority. | No | | |
| 2. Could this activity prevent us promoting equality for any diverse grou | up? No | | |
| If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here. | | | |

| 3. Could this activity potentially discourage the participation of any equality groups? No | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here. | | | |
| | | | |

| 4. Could this activity promote negative attitudes towards any equality g | roups? No | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. Could this activity help to promote equality of opportunity between d | iverse groups? No |) | | |
| If no, please identify why and what the risk is here. | | | | |
| 6. Is there any public concern that the function or policy is being carrier | l d out in a discrimir | natory way? No | | |
| If yes, please identify how and what the risk is here. | | | | |
| The year preuse ruenting new and what the new is here. | | | | |
| 7. Has consultation internally/externally been completed with all groups | s affected? Yes | | | |
| If yes, please provide details and risk score appropriately. If no, | | Discussions have taken place between all members of the current | | |
| please provide details and risk score appropriately. | 6 | Principal Officer team and it has been agreed that a reduction of | 2 | |
| | • | one Principal Officer is feasible. APFO have been consulted. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Appropriate consultations will take place with staff and | | |
| | | representative bodies about any potential redundancy. | | |
| 8. Can the Service be sure that the policy/ activity is meeting all of the | needs of all of the | se groups? Yes | | |
| If no, please identify what needs are not being met. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Strategic Policy/Governance Implications – e.g. Pol | | | | |
| | 7 | senior management change | 2 | |
| Operational – e.g. how we carry out our duties | 1 | | | |
| | 7 | Operational rota amended using 3 x Area Managers | 2 | |
| Legal – e.g. change or failure to comply with legislat | tion including | | | |
| | 6 | All processes and procedures to be followed. | 2 | |
| Financial – e.g. monetary or resource implications | | | | |
| Financial – e.g. monetary or resource implications | | | | |
| Financial – e.g. monetary or resource implications | 3 | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report | 2 | |
| Financial – e.g. monetary or resource implications Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service | • | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report | 2 | |
| | • | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report | 2 | |
| Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service | be put at risk | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report to by the adoption of this policy/ activity? No | 2 | |
| Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service | be put at risk | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report | 2 | |
| Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service Environmental – Is there any impact including Susta containment of fire-fighting media. Please ensure that the Sustainability Impact Appraisal form has been | be put at risk | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report to by the adoption of this policy/ activity? No | 2 | |
| Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service Environmental – Is there any impact including Susta containment of fire-fighting media. | be put at risk | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report by the adoption of this policy/ activity? No . Energy saving, waste disposal, decontamination and | 2 | |
| Reputational – e.g. Will the reputation of the service Environmental – Is there any impact including Susta containment of fire-fighting media. Please ensure that the Sustainability Impact Appraisal form has been | be put at risk ainability - e.g | See Paragraphs 8 to 11 of Report by the adoption of this policy/ activity? No Energy saving, waste disposal, decontamination and N/A | 2 | |

| Human Resources – e.g. Recruitment, Policy changes, Monitoring information Establishment changes, Employee Relations, Employee Development | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|------------|--------|
| | 6 | Amendment of Principal Officer Structure and reduction Personal Assistant has been/will be subject of full cons | sultation. | |
| Training – e.g. Is training required in this area? Will Training & Development need to be notified in order for them to assist in the delivery training in this area? | | | | |
| | | No | | |
| Health and Safety e.g. Will this enhance or undermi | ne Health, Sa | afety and wellbeing | | |
| | | No | | |
| Partnership – e.g. Working or interaction with other | organisations | 5 | | |
| | | N/A | | |
| Information Management – e.g. Data Quality, Privacy Impact Assessment, Data Protection and Freedom of Information, Environmental Regulation | | | | |
| Does this policy/ activity conforms to the <u>Data Protection</u> Act, Freedom of Information Act, Environmental Information Regulations and <u>Data Quality</u> principles? | | Complies fully. | | |
| Total Inherent Score | 6 | Total Residual Score | 2 | |
| Outcome: | | | Yes | s / No |
| Does this Policy/Project/Activityreduce the overall risk for the service? Yes If no, please state why there is not a reduction in risk Yes | | | | |
| The future budget of the Authority is at risk (of low/reduced settlement). This change reduces that risk through financial savings. | | | | |

| | Important risks - may potentially affect provision of key services or duties | Key risk- may potentially affect provision of key services or duties | Immediate action needed - serious threat to provision and/or achievement of key services or duties |
|--------|--|--|---|
| High | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| | Monitor as necessary - less important but still could have a serious effect on the provision of key services or duties | Monitor as necessary - less important but still could have a serious effect on the provision of key services or duties | Key risks - may potentially affect provision of key services or duties |
| Impact | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| | No action necessary | Monitor as necessary - ensure being properly managed | Monitor as necessary- less important but still could have a serious effect on the provision of key services or duties |
| × | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| MO | | | |
| | Low | Likelihood | l High |

| Opportunities: | Responsible: |
|---|----------------------------------|
| What further Opportunities can be identified from this activity/policy matter? Protection of resources and personnel of the Service – the removal of one | Who is responsible for delivery? |
| Assistant Chief Fire Officer could ensure the retention of three Fire fighters or 3 support staff. | CFO/ Human Resources |

Publishing the Document:

Is there any reason why this policy, PMM paper or FRA report and accompanying Business Impact Analysis should not be published? No

Please consider Data Protection, Privacy Impact Assessment and Freedom Of Information concerns. If there is a reason why this information can not be published, please state why.

| Policy Author Signature: | Mark Yates, CFO | Date: | 01/09/2010 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| Head of Department/Mgr: | Mark Yates, CFO | Date: | 01/09/2010 |

TO BE COMPLETED BY CORPORATE RISK AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY ONLY:

Escalation of Risk:

Yes / No

Please identify the escalation of risk e.g. Departmental or Strategic Risk Register, Equality and Diversity Steering Group or relevant Corporate Risk Consideration Lead e.g Training, Partnership

| | | 4.8 | |
|------|-------|-------|---|
| Auth | ories | ation | |
| Aum | 01130 | auon | - |

Outcome:

Date:

| Equality & Diversity Officer | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Head of Corporate Risk | |